



## Meeting of the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy Joint Committee

**Date:** Monday 15 August 2022  
**Time:** 10.00am  
**Venue:** Council Chamber  
Hawke's Bay Regional Council  
159 Dalton Street  
NAPIER

### Attachments Excluded From Agenda

Item	Title	Page
6.	<b>The future of our coastline update</b>	
	Attachment 1: The future of our coastline feedback received	2

## Index of Submissions

Name & ID (Organisation)	Page	Option 1	Option 2	Neither	Hearing?
#2 Bianca Burns	2	Y			
#3 Jason Pearson	2	Y			
#4 B Tamis	2	Y			
#5 Beatrice Rockel	2	Y			
#6 Martin Bates	2	Y			
#7 Jen Ellingham	2	Y			
#8 Bev Robinson	3	Y			
#9 Vicki Butterworth	3	Y			
#10 Jessie Smith	3	Y			
#11 Lance Simon	3		Y		
#12 Douglas Dickson (North Shore Road Residents & Ratepayers Committee)	3	Y			Yes
#13 Chris Swain	3	Y			
#14 Richard Thompson	3	Y			
#15 Yvonne Forrest	4	Y			
#16 Terence Eaton	4	Y			
#17 Marcel van Hooijdonk	4	Y			
#18 Andy Heyward	4	Y			
#19 Cathy McGregor	4	Y			
#20 Stu Burden	4		Y		
#21 Joy Mintorn	4	Y			
#22 Richard Karn	4	Y			
#23 Andrew Black	4	Y			
#24 David Jenks	4	Y			
#25 Maurice Smith	4	Y			
#26 Ashton Eaves	5	Y			
#27 Karl Matthys	5	Y			
#28 John Warren	5			Y	Yes
#29 Kathryn Bayliss	5	Y			
#30 Ian P. Johnson	5	Y			Yes
#31 Denise Bavidge	5	Y			
#32 George Spiers	6	Y			
#33 Mike Harris	6	Y			
#34 Paul Axford	6	Y			
#35 Will Coltart	6	Y			
#36 Andrew Kerr	6	Y			
#37 Gerald Taylor (Tangoio 27a Trust)	6	Y			
#38 Lisa Ranapiri	6	Y			
#39 Moira Lindsay	6	Y			
#40 Marilyn Scott	6	Y			
#41 David Bishop	7	Y			
#42 Lee Heaps	7		Y		
#43 Keith Newman (Walking on Water (WOW Inc))	7	Y			Yes
#44 Mike Purchas	7	Y			
#45 Rick Toner	7	Y			
#46 Ken Mitchell	7	Y			

Name & ID (Organisation)	Page	Option 1	Option 2	Neither	Hearing?
#47 Michel de Vos (Port of Napier Limited)	7	Y			
#48 Robbie Darby	7	Y			
#49 Chris Lambourne	8			Y	
#50 Tony Lane	8		Y		
#51 Karla Parker	8	Y			
#52 Jenny Carter	8	Y			
#53 Cam Wylie	8	Y			
#54 Glennis Moriarty	8	Y			
#55 Raewyn Owens	8		Y		
#56 John Owens	8		Y		
#57 Anton Maurenbrecher	9	Y			Yes
#58 Trent Mabbett	9	Y			
#59 Larry Dallimore (HBRC Ratepayers Concerned for Coastal Issues out of scope)	9	Y			Yes
#60 Callum Beattie (Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust)	9	Y			

### Submission (by order of entry)

Submitter: 2 **Bianca Burns**  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes **Hearing?: No**  
 Comments - Fully support the proposal.

Submitter: 3 **Jason Pearson**  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes **Hearing?: No**  
 Comments - There needs to be 1 clear driver behind this. Too much falls between council boundaries which means they point the finger at each other.

Submitter: 4 **B Tamis**  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes **Hearing?: No**  
 Comments - Hawkes Bay needs to take a coherent and cohesive approach to managing these hazards. The HBRC is best placed to do this.

Submitter: 5 **Beatrice Rockel**  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes **Hearing?: No**  
 Comments - There has to be a lead agency

Submitter: 6 **Martin Bates**  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes **Hearing?: No**  
 Comments - HBRC is the logical body to take on this role as it covers the whole of the coastline rather than just a portion of it and therefore it can be a truly all of coast response + HBRC has the rating capability to cover all Hawke's Bay ratepayers and this is an issue which impacts all residents regardless of whether they live directly on the coast, and hence all should contribute in a tiered fashion similar to the flood protection scheme.

Submitter: 7	Jen Ellingham
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - definitely think HBRC is the right agency to try and mitigate the coastal erosion. though the only way I can see this happening is to build a reef or dump some old ships so it breaks the wave impact on the shoreline. We have 5 rivers coming into the sea so not sure how to mitigate that though	
Submitter: 8	Bev Robinson
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - Need one council to oversee as currently there are no decisions being made.	
Submitter: 9	Vicki Butterworth
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments -	
Submitter: 10	Jessie Smith
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: No	Hearing?: No
Comments -	
Submitter: 11	Lance Simon
Decision: Status quo	
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - Actually my preferred option would be for the Government to take over the management of coastal hazards. Why because although I feel this is a regional problem, to date due to the HBRC conflict of interest in regards its ownership of Napier port which has been identified as the main cause of beach erosion at Westshore the HBRC have not implemented a long term solution even though they have had decades to do so. Then I look at the NCC response to coastal erosion threatening its ratepayers and Napier infrastructure and that has been poor also. The HBRC and NCC allowing houses to be built on a filled in swamp at parklands I believe is criminal. Have you all not noted what happened to houses built on former swamps in the Christchurch earthquake. That is why I feel a fully independent organisation set up by the Government to oversee such things as coastal hazards, and where land can be developed is well overdue in NZ. The Govt has been forced to take over the three waters delivery simply as local governance has failed to deliver for decades, and as far as erosion mitigation which has and is causing coastal hazards both the HBRC and NCC have failed big time. The next cyclone Bolla type storm will decimate the suburb of Westshore and surrounding infrastructure and the HBRC and NCC know this and have done nothing to implement a long term solution or make the organisation causing the erosion to pay for remedial work.	
Submitter: 12	Douglas Dickson
Decision: HBRC takes charge	North Shore Road Residents and Ratepayers Committee
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: Yes
Comments - As recommended by the enquiry as to who was the best organisation to manage the Hawkes bay coastline into the future. I would like to present my submission to the Regional Council hearing between 22-23 August	

<p>Submitter: 13</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - We need to use all the resources that Hawkes Bay has to solve these issues. Years ago when the Ahuirri inner harbour was dredge onto the southern end of Westshore a massive sand bank was develop over the rocks around the reefs. This sand then washed down the beach towards the Westshore surf club and further along the beach. I suggest the council should pumped sand onto/into the southern end before and after large swells. They could pump from the inner channel or transport the sand from the HB southern beaches to a pump station. Examples of this is action is the pumping of sand onto the beaches in Coolangatta, Kira and Noosa Heads. I understand this would be expensive but it will reduce the erosion and make Westshore a sandy beach again. It will make sand bars along the beach which will enable the swells to break further out, stopping the power of the wave hitting the beach and eroding the sediments.</p>	<p>Chris Swain</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 14</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - We need ONE organisation to manage this process and the core role of the HBRC is to manage our air, water and land quality and this includes the coastlines which are a very important part of our social and environmental ecosystems.</p>	<p>Richard Thompson</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 15</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p>Yvonne Forrest</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 16</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p>Terence Eaton</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 17</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - to much back and forth to many chiefs</p>	<p>Marcel van Hooijdonk</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 18</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p>Andy Heyward</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 19</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - The coastline is part of the countryside. The Regional council has always focused on country matters so it is logical they handle the coastal hazards. i believe the government should give financial support and provide expertise to the HBRC for this alarming unprecedented problem of our receding coastlines.</p>	<p>Cathy McGregor</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 20</p> <p>Decision: Status quo</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ngaruroro</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - The regional council is controlled by nutters who have crackpot ideas about the appropriate response to climate change e.g one councillors opinion was everyone that lives within 2km of a supermarket should walk to get their groceries. The district councils have more pragmatic people who will make better decisions balancing cost versus action</p>	<p>Stu Burden</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>



<p>Submitter: <b>21</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p><b>Joy Mintorn</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>22</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p><b>Richard Karn</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>23</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - It makes good sense for the approach to be under one Council</p>	<p><b>Andrew Black</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>24</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - Support the one defined lead agency operation outlined by the preferred option.</p>	<p><b>David Jenks</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>25</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p><b>Maurice Smith</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>26</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Out of region</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: No</p> <p>Comments - District and city councils have to comply with the Regional Policy Statement, so why not leave it up to HBRC to lead?</p>	<p><b>Ashton Eaves</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>27</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - The united approach makes sense for the reasons given. HOWEVER, There is a third option namely view this as a National problem and emergency. It would make perfect sense for the government to take charge of this and provide infrastructure, management and maintenance. This approach would be similar to that taken by other (low lying) countries e.g. The Netherlands. It would mean a robust approach based on proven technologies. See: <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_Works">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_Works</a></p>	<p><b>Karl Matthys</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>28</b></p> <p>Decision: Neither</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - Coastal protection should be addressed at a national level. Most of Napier is threatened by sea level rise as are many other municipalities in NZ. Practically the only solution other than abandoning large parts of urban New Zealand is coastal protection ( dykes) similar to the Netherlands. Also insurance of much of NZ infrastructure will be impossible if such major works are not undertaken Yes Hawkes Bay Regional Council is better than local municipalities to address this, but approach should be consistent across New Zealand. I would recommend engaging professional expertise from the Netherlands to advise on how to develop a long term plan to address sea level rise. This could be done in Hawkes Bay as pilot for New Zealand but should be closely coordinated with the New Zealand Government As for current approaches. I see them as close to meaningless in the medium to long term since all shoreline protection has limited lifetime and the threats to the entire community are not seriously being addressed</p>	<p><b>John Warren</b></p> <p>Hearing?: Yes</p>

<p>Submitter: 29</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Tamatea-Central Hawke's Bay</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - none</p>	<p>Kathryn Bayliss</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 30</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Out of region</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: No</p> <p>Comments - Dear HBRC submissions team, Around the world, prompted by climate change and efforts to slash CO2 emissions, rail and public transport solutions are gaining traction. So too should be the case in Hawke's Bay. Within the hazard zone — Clifton to Tongaroa — existing HB rail track runs along the coastal berm at risk. A proactive rethink of routing is necessary, skirting the Eskdale to Poraita hills, Taradale, Waiohiki, through to Fernhill, then Flaxmere and Paki Paki and visa versa, thereby linking vital facilities such as EIT and HB Hospital. Now is the moment to plan for future rail easements to enable construction. Assuming the retreat will be spread over decades, such a route could be part of a larger circle solution incorporating existing rail track already transiting Hastings and Napier. Imagine — alongside freight deliveries from inland Whakatu to Napier Port — modern trams circuiting from Hastings through Clive/Awatoto through Napier to the HB Airport and then tracking along Poraita to Fernhill, the hospital and back to central Hastings at 20-minute intervals? Interlinked could be (existing) innovative bus/vehicle services, inside the circuit and outside, especially to and from Havelock North. From Europe, where such services are already working realities, a Heretaunga Plains light-rail circuit could be an integral component of a coastal retreat concept that would also safeguard passenger and freight rail access to Wairoa, Gisborne and beyond. Yours sincerely, Ian P. Johnson, BSc (Earth Sciences), semi-retired journalist (ex-HB Herald Tribune, ex-Deutsche Welle, Bonn) PS: Having endured with 60,000 others last year's Ahr Valley flooding of 14/15 July 2021, I would opt for (wise, collaborative) HBRC leadership. Parochialism along the Ahr was a literally fatal component in the lead-up to one of Germany's worst post-war disasters that cost 134 lives, with the remains of 2 persons still missing.</p>	<p>Ian P. Johnson</p> <p>Hearing?: Yes</p>
<p>Submitter: 31</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Not sure</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - It seems the obvious choice for the whole region in question. Have proven capability in the area.</p>	<p>Denise Bavidge</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 32</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - I don't believe that this wont be used as an opportunity to increase rates but putting that aspect aside it would seem sensible to have one body manage the coastline rather than several local bodies. Theoretically this would allow a small Specialized team to be developed under one umbrella.</p>	<p>George Spiers</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 33</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - One lead agency has clearer accountability and to my mind greater efficiency. Importantly HBRC has a bigger (and long game) picture view of climate change impacts for the region and the up to date scientific evidence. I am hoping that these attributes will enable HBRC to maintain objectivity in the face of those lobbying for their short term agendas.</p>	<p>Mike Harris</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: 34</p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - Larger management entities are better able to acquire the qualified technical staff needed to implement such civil engineering strategies, nevermind that city councils seem tied up in short-term politics rather than understanding how to execute large-scale long-term solutions. Personally I think that plans for a managed retreat should be a strong focus because it's only a matter of time before coastal areas are lost. We should be identifying the locations and acquiring the property now for the ultra-efficient towns of the future.</p>	<p>Paul Axford</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>

<p>Submitter: <b>35</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p><b>Will Coltart</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>36</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ngaruroro</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - Logical. One council has autonomy to take action. Fits with environmental focus of Regional Council</p>	<p><b>Andrew Kerr</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>37</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Not sure</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - Coastal hazards from Clifton to Tangoio - why is it all information relates and stops at Whirinaki (Clifton -Whirinaki- explanation??</p>	<p><b>Gerald Taylor</b> Tangoio 27a Trust</p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>38</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - I now have a much better understanding of the long term coastal protection goals and am satisfied that the benefits and reasons given for Option 1, consistently outweigh Option 2.</p>	<p><b>Lisa Ranapiri</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>39</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - I wish to say that 'Retreat' is not the way to handle the situation. It is way more expensive that putting groynes / revetment walls which should get underway asap.</p>	<p><b>Moira Lindsay</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>40</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - I agree that having one council overseeing and making key decisions about the proposed stretch of coastline makes sense from a practical and economic point of view. I also hope that the HBRC will bring greater scientific and ecological knowledge to the table when managing coastal hazards, and not just make decisions from an anthropocentric view point. The focus should not simply be on the properties and assets of those who have chosen to live on the coastline but it is hoped that HBRC will give important consideration to the biodiversity and the health of our rivers, estuaries and wetlands that share this coastal strip and provide protection and habitats for our coastal flora and fauna that have often been the 'poor relation' when decisions are made about the environment generally. Another reason why having tangata whenua at the decision-making table is crucial - they bring a long-term and holistic viewpoint to respecting Te Taiao.</p>	<p><b>Marilyn Scott</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>41</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Tairātea-Central Hawke's Bay</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - It is logical that HBRC takes charge of adapting to coastal hazards in all of its coastlines, not only for the quoted Clifton to Tangoio project. I would also like to see HBRC commit significant resource to evaluating the impacts of sea level rise on other coastal habitation sites, such as Waimarama, Kairakau, and Pōrerere/Tūingara and working with coastal communities on developing options to protect these other areas from sea level rise.</p>	<p><b>David Bishop</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>42</b></p> <p>Decision: Status quo</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments -</p>	<p><b>Lee Heaps</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>



Submitter: 43	Keith Newman
Decision: HBRC takes charge	Walking on Water (WOW Inc)
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: Yes
Comments – see full submission following	

#### Submission to proposal for HBRC to take over the Coastal Hazards Strategy

WOW broadly supports "Option 1" on the proviso that HBRC follows the advice of the external review led by Raynor Asher QC in 2021 which recommended an advisory committee be established to support HBRC as the lead agency. WOW also proposes one amendment to the recommended make-up of this committee, which is to add 3 ratepayer representatives, drawn from the existing ratepayer representation on the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 working group. Together with the existing membership of the Coastal Hazards Joint Committee this would then complete the spectrum of interested parties and knowledge.

1. HBRC completes the development of the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 and then runs the Public consultation process for this.

- WOW has been an advocate, supporter and participant in the development of the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 and believes that it is imperative that this process is seen through to its conclusion with the final release of the strategy, and the subsequent consultation process to affirm it as the defacto guiding strategy for dealing with coastal hazards in Hawke's Bay. To this end, HBRC should complete this work.

2. Coastal Assets are transferred to HBRC from HDC and NCC, complete with all associated debt. Said asset will then be monitored, maintained and rated for by HBRC

- WOW supports the transfer of Coastal Assets to HBRC as this will clearly define ownership, associated maintenance requirements and development opportunities of said assets, and likewise for future coastal assets, thereby removing any ambiguity and helping to streamline the governance process.

3. HBRC will be responsible for the implementation of the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 once said strategy is approved, which includes design, consents, construction, and maintaining works + funding (debt and rates), with a working assumption, although not finalised, that HBRC will follow a beneficiary-pays model, similar to how targeted rates apply to flood protection schemes.

- In line with item 1, WOW broadly supports the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 and believes that it is imperative that this strategy is followed through to implementation. HBRC under the current local government structure is the body in Hawke's Bay best positioned to fund and rate for work related to this implementation. For the strategy to be a success WOW believes that a coordinated whole of coast approach is required rather than piecemeal, ad hoc developments, and it is the latter which is the more likely if the responsibility remains with the two territorial authorities HDC and NCC.

- WOW would also like to highlight the need for the "beneficiary pays model" to be fair and equitable, taking due regard to the wider communities use and benefit from the coastal areas of Hawke's Bay, as identified during the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 analysis.

WOW is also of the belief that the role of the Coastal Hazards Joint Committee in the proposed new structure should be reviewed and defined with input from all interested parties, and agreed to, prior to finalising the transfer of ownership.

– Keith Newman (WOW chairperson and member of Coastal Hazards Southern Cell community group)

<p>Submitter: <b>44</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - 1. We need one entity with the mandate and mana to become responsible and accountable for these decisions. 2. HBRC uniquely has the geographic reach and oversight across the entire region. 3. HBRC is already responsible for many stop banks and flood control schemes (eg <a href="http://www.hbrc.govt.nz/services/flood-control/individual-schemes">www.hbrc.govt.nz/services/flood-control/individual-schemes</a>) including both river and coastal schemes.</p>	<p><b>Mike Purchas</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>45</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - This issue is clearly within the mandate of HBRC. They take the lead, pretty obvious.</p>	<p><b>Rick Toner</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>
<p>Submitter: <b>46</b></p> <p>Decision: HBRC takes charge</p> <p>Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier</p> <p>Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes</p> <p>Comments - It makes sense to have a consistent management and communication approach for coastal asset management.</p>	<p><b>Ken Mitchell</b></p> <p>Hearing?: No</p>

Submitter: 47  
 Decision: HBRC takes charge  
 Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier  
 Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes  
 Comments - see written feedback following

Michel de Vos  
 Port of Napier Limited

Hearing?: No



27 July 2022

Chief Executive  
 Hawke's Bay Regional Council  
 Private Bag 9002  
 NAPIER 4142

Attention: James Palmer – Chief Executive

### **NAPIER PORT SUBMISSION ON 'THE FUTURE OF OUR COASTLINE'**

Port of Napier Limited (Napier Port) has had a physical presence in Hawke's Bay for over 150 years.

As a competitive regional and international commercial, cargo, container handling, logistics, shipping and cruise services business Napier Port is a vital infrastructure owner and operator in the region.

Napier Port supports Option 1, that "Hawke's Bay Regional Council takes charge of adapting to coastal hazards between Clifton and Tangaioa."

The reasons for this support, include amongst other things; that:

- The advantages outweigh the disadvantages for having Hawke's Bay Regional Council as the Lead Agency, as succinctly listed in the consultation document.
- Brings together under a single agency, a regional voice with both technical skills and mātauranga Māori at the table through the proposed Advisory Committee.
- A single agency will bring together existing skills and knowledge, technical advice and demonstrate integrated management and collaboration for adapting to coastal hazards caused by climate change.
- Hawke's Bay Regional Council are best placed to be the Lead Agency given:
  - Their regional environmental management mandate across resource and coastal management legislation.
  - At a national level, dealing with climate change related issues including coastal adaptation.
  - Through civil defence and emergency management obligations, to understand and manage the physical risks to the built environment, including buildings and structures located along the Hawke Bay coastline.

This submission, that supports Option 1, does not limit the views that may be expressed or submitted upon by those entities, companies and / or organisations that lease and subsequently operate from land at Napier Port.

Thank you for the opportunity for Napier Port to provide a written submission on 'The Future of our Coastline'.

While Napier do not wish to be heard at the Hearing set down for 23 and 24 August 2022, we wish to ensure we are kept informed on the outcomes of this consultative exercise and be able to provide feedback on the detail of the Strategy when this is available.

We look forward to our continued involvement and ongoing consultation and engagement with Hawke's Bay Regional Council.

Yours sincerely,

Michel de Vos  
 General Manager Assets and Infrastructure Services  
 M +64 275 303325 | E michelv@napierport.co.nz | DD +64 6 833 4458

BETTER PEOPLE  BETTER ANSWERS

Submitter: 48	Robbie Darby
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - I would back the preferred option ONLY with the proviso that there would still be a democratic process for decisions made by the HBRC and this would mean that the proposed Coastal Hazards Strategy and the Coastal Hazards strategy governance be under the umbrella of HBRC but that they remain independent as such and can implement visionary approaches that look at fresh ways to help achieve Strategy goals for the Coastal environment policy. The HBRC has in the past said that it is mostly opposed to any hard engineering and development in the red zone. The HBRC may decide not to implement the Clifton to Tongoio Coastal Strategy therefore for the HBRC to have autonomous control would mean that any independent strategy would probably not be considered. It is not appropriate that the HBRC has vested interest and total control on the decision making. The Coastal Hazards Strategy governance would not be able to develop and implement their visions if they were not independent in their own right. They still could be being under the umbrella of the HBRC. If the HBRC did not have a good watchdog and not have the Coastal Hazards strategy Governance included under its umbrella they would be undemocratic and authoritarian at the expense of more visionary approaches that would enhance and support the Cape Coast as a destination and area to be protected and enjoyed by locals and tourists alike without managed retreat.	
Submitter: 49	Chris Lambourne
Decision: Neither	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments -	
Submitter: 50	Tony Lane
Decision: Status quo	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - HBRC is too political and lacks a practical approach	
Submitter: 51	Karla Parker
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - Please please fix Westshore beach asap. Please don't continue to waste money by dumping shingle when you know it doesn't work in any way and doesn't last. You need to fix it as soon as possible before nothing is left.	
Submitter: 52	Jenny Carter
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - We need a cohesive Hawkes Bay plan.	
Submitter: 53	Cam Wylie
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments -	
Submitter: 54	Glennis Moriarty
Decision: HBRC takes charge	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - Regional council experts can lead the way; strong council support available.	



Submitter: <b>55</b>	<b>Raewyn Owens</b>
Decision: Status quo	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - We prefer to have local council representatives from Hastings District Council working on our behalf for our local area	
Submitter: <b>56</b>	<b>John Owens</b>
Decision: Status quo	
Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings	
Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes	Hearing?: No
Comments - I want the assets of HDC to stay with HDC to continue with the work they have started in our area	

Submitter: 57

Anton Maurenbrecher

Decision: HBRC takes charge

Which constituency do you live in?: Heretaunga-Hastings

Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes

Hearing?: Yes

Comments - I believe that the Regional Council is better equipped to deal with this complex problem with the minimum of political interference. I am unable to attend the hearings on the 22-23rd of August but would appreciate any opportunity to discuss my submission before the 8th or after the 31st August. (see full submission following)

#### COMMENT ON CONSULTATION PROCESS ON TRANSITION OF COASTAL HAZARDS

I am encouraged by the tenor set by the HBRC with regards to cooperation at the Hawke's Bay Soil Symposium 2022.

In this light, the following comments may be out of scope but should be considered in the context that the Coastal Hazard issue is inextricably tied to the Spatial Plan.

The transition to the HBRC is a positive change but the reasons for doing so should be clearly understood by the public. My understanding of the Asher report is that its main drive is for a separation of politics and scientific analysis. It would be regrettable if this opportunity was lost.

From your Assessment Panel Report:

*'To make evidence-based decisions founded on best practice coastal science and good data'.*

If the Regional council is to take responsibility for development in coastal hazard areas, it should ensure that the data it uses is correct and up to date. Recent court cases have shown that this may not be the case. HDC appears to have taken a holistic approach, using flawed data to create a deterrent from evidence-based applications.

From your Assessment Panel Report:

*'To ensure the timely provision of information on hazards, risks and uncertainties to private landowners and the wider community in order to encourage prudence in decision-making relating to private property'*

As discussed in UNCERTAIN BUT INEVITABLE : THE EXPERT-POLICY POLITICAL NEXUS AND HIGH-IMPACT RISKS (Sir Peter Gluckman and Dr Anne Bardsley) [High-impact-risks.pdf](#) ([informedfutures.org](#)), there is a need for a publicly available Risk Register. This has been hampered by political considerations and there is now an opportunity for the HBRC to rectify this. This paper also discusses biases. The transition of responsibility to the HBRC presents an opportunity to ameliorate such biases, in particular Anchoring Effect and Confirmation Bias. Relevant court cases should be re-examined in non-antagonistic consultation with all the protagonists.

The conversation seems to be limited to mitigation and managed retreat. What appears to be missing is a discussion on identifying land that is suitable for new development. There appears to be a public consensus that future development needs to happen in the hills. You cannot assess where these 'hills' are if you do not have correct maps as the definition of hills can be quite subtle (WYSIATI)

[https://r.search.yahoo.com/\\_ylt=Awr4xLD1mdxiMvkAGgXz7gx...\\_ylu=Y29sbwNncTEEcG9zAzEEdnRpZAMEc2VIA3Ny/RV=2/RE=1658653301/RQ=10/RU=https%3a%2f%2fwww.shortform.com%2fblog%2fwhat-you-see-is-all-there-is%2f/RK=2/RS=u1ACbyUZOPLGq2AMbqK8mBU7Bg8-](https://r.search.yahoo.com/_ylt=Awr4xLD1mdxiMvkAGgXz7gx..._ylu=Y29sbwNncTEEcG9zAzEEdnRpZAMEc2VIA3Ny/RV=2/RE=1658653301/RQ=10/RU=https%3a%2f%2fwww.shortform.com%2fblog%2fwhat-you-see-is-all-there-is%2f/RK=2/RS=u1ACbyUZOPLGq2AMbqK8mBU7Bg8-)

HBRC and HBDC do not seem to have an understanding of the relationship between Natural land capital and Human capital. You cannot utilise one without the other.

#### CONCLUSION

- Public consultation should be just that. Make time in public seminars for idiot questions. HBRC should consider informal consultation with smaller groups.

- HBRC should make it clear if the transition now presents a more evidence-based opportunity to progress.
- HBRC and HDC should clarify the order of consultation for prospective land use changes. Do we consult the HBRC in the first instance for development in coastal areas?
- HBRC should ensure that flaws and gaps in their scientific data are addressed. It should be clear where the buck stops. For example, the disclaimer on the soil maps used by HBRC should be clarified.
- At what point does 'granular' data become significant?
- If there is an up-to-date Risk Register, this should be made publicly available. If there is not a register for HB, it should be addressed with urgency.
- HBRC needs to critically assess if the expert advice retained to date is relevant. For example: is a soil water movement expert the right source for advice on 'best practice coastal science and good data'?
- Does the HBRC need to engage an expert that can assess the nature of the interlinking of natural land and human capital?
- Does HBRC need to engage an expert in topographic spatial information to address the suggestion for development on the hills?

I look forward to some robust, frank and useful public consultation on the above issues.

Submitter: 58

Trent Mabbett

Decision: HBRC takes charge

Which constituency do you live in?: Ngaruroro

Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes

Hearing?: No

Comments - Option 1 is more likely to improve coastal asset management than option 2.

Submitter: 59

Larry Dallimore

Decision: HBRC takes charge

HBRC Ratepayers Concerned for Coastal Issues out of scope

Which constituency do you live in?: Not sure

Are you a HB ratepayer?: Yes

Hearing?: Yes

Comments - The HBRC has failed to grasp, address and act on behalf of HBRC ratepayers within Napier City and especially coastal property residents between Hardinge Road and Whirinaki who are now subjected to huge financial losses because HBRC has been hell-bent on promoting land 'retreat' as the option for coastal erosion north of the Napier Port. This is due to an influential small group of senior Councillors who have insisted the Port shipping channel has nothing to do with Coastal erosion in the Bayview Littoral Cell. This submission supports HBRC taking full control of the Coastal Strategy because the NCC has proved to be totally hopeless at addressing any form of coastal erosion. This Submission conditionally supports HBRC taking control only because its the only Council committed to taking care of our environment. It is time for HBRC to stop responding to Submissions with "our views are disparate".

Submitter: 60 Decision: HBRC takes charge Which constituency do you live in?: Ahuriri-Napier Are you a HB ratepayer?: Comments - see full submission following	Callum Beattie Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust Hearing?: No
--	--



MAUNGAHARURU  
TANGITŪ

Hawke's Bay Regional Council  
 159 Dalton Street  
 Napier 4110

29 Hōngongoi (July) 2022

Tēnā koe,

**Hawke's Bay Regional Council "taking charge" of the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120**

This letter is written on behalf of the Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust (MTT). MTT is the post-settlement governance entity representing the hapū – Marangātūhetāua (Ngāti Tū), Ngāti Whakaari, Ngāti Taura, Ngāti Kurumōkihi (formerly known as Ngāti Tataa), Ngāti Te Ruruku ki Tangoio and Ngāti Tahu (Hapū). The takiwā (traditional area) of the MTT Hapū encompasses the Waitaha River in the north to Keteketerau in the south (the outlet of the earlier Te Whanganui-a-Orotū also known as the Napier Inner Harbour which was in existence before the 1931 Napier earthquake), and from the Maungaharuru Range in the west to the coast and beyond to Tangitū (the sea) in the east. The Hapū's marae is Tangoio Marae located approximately 20km north of Napier. MTT is mandated by the Hapū to be their "voice" and represent them on environmental matters.

MTT confirms that it supports Hawke's Bay Regional Council:

- taking primary responsibility to finish developing and consult on the work required to implement the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 (Strategy);
- taking ownership of responsibility for the existing assets that manage coastal hazards risk; and

**Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust** 1st Floor, 15 Hardinge Road, Ahuriri, Napier 4110  
 PO Box 3376, Hawkes Bay Mail Centre, Napier 4142  
 0800 TANGOIO / 06 835 3300 • info@tangoio.maori.nz • www.tangoio.maori.nz



- implementing the Strategy,

as recommended by Raynor Asher QC in 2021 and as indicated previously by its representative on the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Strategy 2120 Joint Committee (Tania Hopmans - MTT Toihau). MTT intends to remain engaged in this mahi through its representative.

MTT does not wish to be heard in relation to this submission.

Nāku noa i runga i āku mihi ki a koe,



Nā Callum Beattie, Kaitātari Kaupapa Here  
Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust  
callum@tangoio.maori.nz